

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,235	09/09/2003	Ralph Markey	87334.5840	8843	
75	7590 09/01/2005			EXAMINER	
BAKER & HO	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
Washington Squ Suite 1100	iare -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			1772		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		DATE MAILED: 09/01/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/657,235	MARKEY, RALPH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Thomas	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	:s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 August 2005.		
_	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐			
Applicant may not request that any objection	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call.	•		
	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form F 10-1	J2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. iments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stag	ge
			,
Attachment(s)	" □	(DTC 110)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/18/05</u>. 	18) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/657,235 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7-10, 13-16 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant argues that the reference fails to disclose a film envelope enclosing only one of multiple cores with indentations. However, the instant claims are directed to a single panel and not to multiple panels. The reference clearly suggests varying the shape of the core for a particular application; see [0028]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the core of the insulation panel of Olson et al in any shape, such as with a notched edge or beveled corner, depending on the end use of the panel. Concerning the limitation "configured to provide a partial periphery of a combined passageway", the limitation does not distinguish over the prior art since any shape, even a straight edge, may be considered to provide a partial passageway depending on the shape of the passageway.
- 3. Claims 24- 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson et al as applied to claims 7-10, 13-16 and 21-23 above, and further in view of applicant's acknowledged state of the art. The secondary reference discloses that

Art Unit: 1772

placing several panels in abutting relationship to cover a specific area to be insulated is well-known in the art; see [0010]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place several panels of Olson et al in abutting relationship to insulate a particular object as taught by the secondary reference, and to adjust the shape of the panels as suggested in the primary reference to cover the particular area to be insulated, such as around a passageway in the object.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,235 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

blegandly S (house